

REMARKS

Claims 2 and 3 have been canceled. Claims 1 and 4 have have been amended.

35 U.S.C. 102§(b) rejections

The rejection of claims 1 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Chiu et. al. is overcome by amendment to claim 1 and cancellation of claim 3. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 2 which was deemed allowable. Therefore, amended claim 1 is in condition for allowance.

Objected to claims

The objection to claim 4 has been overcome by amendment thereto. Claim 4 has been amended to independent form and includes the limitations of the base claim, claim 1, and any intervening claims, claim 3. Therefore, claim 4 as amended is in condition for allowance. Claims 5 and 6 depend from claim 4 and are allowable for the same reasons.

SUMMARY

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that each of the claims is in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested. Should there be any questions or remaining issues, Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned attorney for a speedy resolution.

Respectfully requested,



Robert A. Parsons
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,713

28 January 2005

CUSTOMER NO. 29370

340 East Palm Lane, Suite 260
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
(602) 252-7494